Tests for the presence of koi herpesvirus (KHV) in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio carpio*) and koi carp (*Cyprinus carpio koi*) in the Czech Republic
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ABSTRACT: An outbreak of koi herpesvirus (KHV) infection associated with high mortality of common and koi carp was recorded in the USA and Israel in 1998. At present, this disease is viewed as one of the most significant factors that can adversely affect common and koi carp breeds. The disease has spread worldwide including European countries neighbouring with the Czech Republic (CR), i.e. Germany, Poland, and Austria. To monitor the situation in the CR, samples were collected from a total of 138 common and koi carps in seven and eight locations in CR respectively, and were examined between 2005 and 2006. Locations owned by the major producers of common and koi carp were selected with respect of potential occurrence of the KHV virus. No records of increased mortality and morbidity were noticed there. Preferentially carps with non-specific symptoms of disease were sampled, often with isolated skin erosions. To obtain detailed picture about health condition of examined fish the bacteriological and haematological examinations were accomplished. The next part of the examined samples were carp and koi carp for export from professional breeders, imported koi carp and fish from breeds with increased mortality (45 and 21 fish in 2005 and 2006, respectively). The only virological testing was done in this case. The culture and PCR method, according to Gilad et al. (2002) showed negative results for virus KHV in all years. Retrospective investigation by PCR method according to Bercovier et al. (2005) showed positivity in five locations in 2005. In 2006, KHV virus was not detected in any of the selected locations. The aim of our study was to find out the presence/absence of KHV in selected locations and potential correlation of virological, bacteriological and haematological findings. The results of first testing for presence of koi herpesvirus indicates the necessity of regular KHV monitoring in the Czech Republic in the next period.
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Common carp (*Cyprinus carpio carpio*) is a worldwide the most frequent fish species kept above all in Asian and European countries. Koi carp (*Cyprinus carpio koi*) is raised all over the world, especially in Japan, as an ornamental variety of common carp (Balon, 1995). In 1998, high mortality erupted in common and koi carp in the USA and Israel. Retrospective studies showed that the disease affected common carp in the UK as early as in 1996 (Bretzinger et al., 1999; Hedrick et al., 1999, 2005). These outbreaks were due to a deadly infection with a newly recognized virus, the koi herpesvirus (KHV). KHV infection caused 80–90% mortality in all registered cases of common and koi carp (Hedrick et al., 2000; Gilad et al., 2003; Hutoran et al., 2005). Fish die of general emaciation...
and respiratory problems. External signs of KHV infection may include gill mottling with red and white patches and increased mucus production. Internal signs of KHV may include mottled appearance of livers and other gross lesions (Walster, 1999; Hedrick et al., 2000). The affected carp populations are susceptible to a variety of non-specific bacterial, fungal and parasitic infections (Bretzinger et al., 1999; Hedrick et al., 2000; Perelberg et al., 2003). Haematological tests showed diverse osmoregulatory dysfunctions, hypoproteinaemia, hepatic dysfunction and noticeable immunosuppression. Intranuclear inclusions were found in histological sections (Tinman and Bejerano, 2000).

Koi herpesvirosis is highly contagious to fish and may cause significant morbidity and mortality solely in common and koi carp (Perelberg et al., 2003, 2005). The disease regularly appears in the spring and autumn months indicating that the virus is associated with specific temperature conditions in nature, i.e. with water temperature between 18 and 28°C (Ronen et al., 2003; Perelberg et al., 2005). The virus spreads as a result of worldwide trade in live koi carp. So far the virus has been identified in European countries, Indonesia, South Africa, Thailand, Taiwan, Japan, China and Malaysia (Haenen et al., 2004; Sano et al., 2004). In 1997 the first outbreak of the infection was recorded in Germany (Bretzinger et al., 1999), in 2001 two positive samples from fish imported from Poland to Germany were confirmed (Bergmann et al., 2006), and in 2003 infection in Austria was reported (Haenen et al., 2004). These are neighbouring countries of the Czech Republic, which is one of the world biggest producers of cyprinids. At present, ornamental fish trade has expanded into the Czech Republic, especially with koi carp; and this contributes to the risk of virus transmission, which can adversely affect production of common carp for human consumption. Therefore in 2005 monitoring of common and koi carp was initiated in the Czech Republic. The obtained results are presented in this study.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Fish**

During the two-year monitoring period, a total of 204 samples were examined for the presence of KHV. In total 138 carp and koi carps were sampled between May and July, when the water temperature reached at least 18°C, from seven and eight locations in 2005 and 2006, respectively. Common and koi carp farms were selected for sampling with respect of potential occurrence of the KHV virus, i.e. locations with imported fish or locations with an occurrence of non-specific clinical symptoms of the disease. The following farms were preferentially selected: Koi carp farms (four farms in 2005, five farms in 2006) and big fishing companies (three farms) dealing with market carp production. No records of increased mortality and morbidity were noticed there. Due to the fact that mortality related to KHV disease typically occurs at water temperatures between 18–28°C (Gilad et al., 2003), the fish were kept in a 14-day quarantine at the temperature of 23°C before sampling in 2006. Furthermore, samples from different locations were included in the virological screening on request to confirm absence of the KHV virus in koi carp for exportation, or in imported koi carp and from owners with increased mortality of carp – 45 and 21 samples during the year 2005 and 2006, respectively.

**Virological testing**

**Sample collection for virological testing.** Individual samples of hepatopancreas, cranial kidney, spleen and gills were collected from fish. After dilution with culture medium (1:5), organ homogenates from each fish were centrifuged and a part of supernatant was used for nucleic acid extraction for PCR virus detection. The next part of supernatant was mixed with supernatant of another individual fish samples from the same location and these pooled samples of 10 fish were prepared for culture in cell lines. The samples were stored for 24 h in a refrigerator before inoculation.

**Cell cultures and virus.** Tissue homogenates from tested fish were inoculated onto two fish cell lines: the koi fin (KF-1) from epithelial tissues of koi carp (Hedrick et al., 2000) for isolation of KHV and the epithelioma papulosum cyprini (EPC) from common carp (Fijan et al., 1983) for isolation of other possible viruses of carp. Both cell lines were propagated at 25°C in minimal essential medium with Earle’s salts (E-MEM/HEPES) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS), 50 IU penicillin/ml, 50 µg streptomycin/ml, and 2mM L-glutamine. One-day old cell lines were inoculated with 0.7 ml of tissue homogenate and after the adsorp-
the collection and processing of blood samples. Before blood sampling, fish were anaesthetised in 0.3 ml/l of 2-phenoxyethanol solution. After the onset of anaesthesia, blood samples were drawn from the caudal vein using a heparinised needle and syringe. The following haematological parameters were determined: red blood cell count (RBC; T/l), white blood cell count (WBC; G/l), differential leukocyte count (DIF; %), haematocrite (PCV; l/l), haemoglobin concentration (Hb; g/l), mean cell haemoglobin concentration (MCHC; l/l), mean cell haemoglobin (MCH; pg), and mean corpuscular volume (MCV; fl).

**Biochemical analysis of blood plasma.** Blood plasma was obtained by centrifugation of blood samples at 2 000 × g for 10 min. Individual samples of plasma were stored in a freezer at −80°C. Concentrations of total protein (TP), albumin (ALB), globulin (GLOB), glucose (GLU), aspartataminotransferase (AST) and alaninaminotransferase (ALT) were determined in plasma using blood analyzer VETTEST 8008 (IDEXX Laboratories Inc., USA).

**Bacteriological investigation.** Aseptically collected tissues from parenchymatous organs (hepatopancreas, kidney), gill swabs and skin swabs from eutathised fish were cultured for isolation of *Aeromonas* spp. and for isolation of *Flavobacterium* sp. If ulcerous lesions were found on the skin of slaughtered fish, swabs were taken preferentially from these sites.

Selective agars for *Aeromonas* spp. and *Flavobacterium* spp. isolation were incubated at 30°C or at 25°C for 48 h, respectively. The obtained bacterial cultures were pre-identified according to morphology of colonies, microscopic examination, production of catalase and oxidase and resistance to vibriostatic agent O/129 (Rahman et al., 2002). In the genus *Flavobacterium*, testing for the presence of *Flavobacterium columnare* species was performed because of its ability to cause koi carp gill necrosis (Decostere et al., 2002). The extent of bacteriological findings in respective samples was expressed by plus symbols showing growth intensity. Bacterial growth into the first isolation segment was expressed by +, into the second, third and fourth isolation segment by ++, +++ and ++++, respectively.

**Haematological testing**

**Blood test.** Standard ichtyohaematological methods, according to Svobodova et al. (1991), were used for the collection and processing of blood samples. Before blood sampling, fish were anaesthetised in 0.3 ml/l of 2-phenoxyethanol solution. After the onset of anaesthesia, blood samples were drawn from the caudal vein using a heparinised needle and syringe. The following haematological parameters were determined: red blood cell count (RBC; T/l), white blood cell count (WBC; G/l), differential leukocyte count (DIF; %), haematocrite (PCV; l/l), haemoglobin concentration (Hb; g/l), mean cell haemoglobin concentration (MCHC; l/l), mean cell haemoglobin (MCH; pg), and mean corpuscular volume (MCV; fl).

**Biochemical analysis of blood plasma.** Blood plasma was obtained by centrifugation of blood samples at 2 000 × g for 10 min. Individual samples of plasma were stored in a freezer at −80°C. Concentrations of total protein (TP), albumin (ALB), globulin (GLOB), glucose (GLU), aspartataminotransferase (AST) and alaninaminotransferase (ALT) were determined in plasma using blood analyzer VETTEST 8008 (IDEXX Laboratories Inc., USA).

**Bacteriological investigation.** Aseptically collected tissues from parenchymatous organs (hepatopancreas, kidney), gill swabs and skin swabs from eutathised fish were cultured for isolation of *Aeromonas* spp. and for isolation of *Flavobacterium* sp. If ulcerous lesions were found on the skin of slaughtered fish, swabs were taken preferentially from these sites.

Selective agars for *Aeromonas* spp. and *Flavobacterium* spp. isolation were incubated at 30°C or at 25°C for 48 h, respectively. The obtained bacterial cultures were pre-identified according to morphology of colonies, microscopic examination, production of catalase and oxidase and resistance to vibriostatic agent O/129 (Rahman et al., 2002). In the genus *Flavobacterium*, testing for the presence of *Flavobacterium columnare* species was performed because of its ability to cause koi carp gill necrosis (Decostere et al., 2002). The extent of bacteriological findings in respective samples was expressed by plus symbols showing growth intensity. Bacterial growth into the first isolation segment was expressed by +, into the second, third and fourth isolation segment by ++, +++ and ++++, respectively.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Over the two-year period, samples from common and koi carp from selected locations were collected
During the spring months. Due to the fact that some authors described a presence of secondary bacterial pathogens in fish infected with KHV (Haenen et al., 2004) and some differences in haematological tests (Tinman and Bejerano, 2000), obtained samples were also examined for the presence of bacteria and biochemical parameters of blood and plasma. Virological examination consisted of inoculation of homogenates prepared from pooled organs and gills of fish from one location onto EPC and KF-1 cell lines. Whilst control koi herpesvirus produced CPE on a KF-1 cell line on Day 7 after inoculation, tissue samples obtained from carps in 2005 and 2006 did not show any CPE on KF-1 cell line even after the subcultivation. Isolation of virus was successful in the case of location 02/05 only, which showed CPE on EPC cell line after the first passage. The ELISA test (Rodak et al., 1993) and RT-PCR method (Koutna et al., 2003) detected the spring viraemia of carp (SVC) virus in this sample. In two fish from this location pathogenic species of *Flavobacterium genus, F. columnare*, was detected. In this location average haemoglobin concentration (Hb; 51.9 g/l) and associated average values of mean cell haemoglobin (MCH; 42.3 pg) and mean cell haemoglobin concentration (MCHC; 0.16 l/l) were slightly decreased. Concentration of total protein was slightly decreased too (18 g/l).

Pooled samples of fish tissues and gills were examined by PCR for KHV virus detection according to Gilad et al. (2002). All the samples examined were negative by this method, including samples obtained by passage of pooled homogenates on KF-1 cell lines. Late in 2006, PCR method according to Bercovier et al. (2005) was implemented into our laboratory. Unfortunately, only frozen samples after the subcultivation on KF-1 cell line from 2005 and 2006 were available and were therefore tested by this protocol. Pooled homogenate samples after the subcultivation on KF-1 cell line from 2005 showed positivity for locations 01/05, 03/05, 04/05, 05/05 and 06/05 (Figure 1).

Unfortunately, due to the retrospective use of the PCR according to Bercovier et al (2005) on pooled samples only, we were unable to correlate bacteriological and haematological samples from individual fish with PCR results of particular fish (Table 1). Despite this it does not appear that any correlation could be observed since all the haematological parameters, except of fish infected with SVCV, were within physiological ranges. As far as bacteriological findings are concerned, gills and skin of fish were massively colonised with bacteria of the genera *Aeromonas* and *Flavobacterium*, especially in the site of skin erosions. The degree of colonisation differed between respective groups and between respective fish within a group regardless of virological results.

Fish samples delivered by breeders with the purpose to ascertain KHV virus presence in koi carp intended for exportation and imported carp were negative by cultivation and PCR method.

With regard to an outbreak situation in neighbouring countries, KHV virus occurrence can also be expected in the CR (Pokorova et al., 2005). Initially, from negative results of a two-year surveillance project for common and koi carp farms from selected locations, CR appeared free from this infection. Introduction of the PCR method according to Bercovier et al. (2005), and retrospective

![Figure 1. Investigation of pooled samples in 2005 by PCR assay using KHV-TK primers according to Bercovier et al., 2005](image)

Lane 1 = without template, lane 2 = positive control, lanes 3–9 = PCR products of DNA templates (localitition 01/05–07/05), MM = molecular marker (TrackIt™ 1 kb Plus DNA Ladder)
analysis of samples originally considered negative, revealed positivity of pooled samples of tissue and gill homogenates in five selected locations in 2005. Since we did not observe any significant clinical signs of the disease in the positive location, the level of virus infection is probably quite low. In view of the fact that KHV may be a factor causing high morbidity and mortality both in common and koi carp (Hedrick et al., 2000) and due to positive findings in neighbouring European countries (Haenen et al., 2004; Bergmann et al., 2006), the regular monitoring in the Czech Republic seems to be necessary. Monitoring would result in efficient data collection and allow control the spread of the infection by imposing effective protective measures.

Table 1. Summarised results of virological and bacteriological examination of samples collected in respective locations in a year 2005 and 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location No.</th>
<th>PCR Gil/Ber</th>
<th>Gill swabs</th>
<th>Skin swabs (number of swabs from skin erosions)</th>
<th>Parenchymatous organs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01/05C</td>
<td>+/</td>
<td>A +++ to +++*</td>
<td>(10)#, A +++ to +++</td>
<td>0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F ++ to +++</td>
<td>F +++ to +++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/05C</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>A +++ to +++</td>
<td>(8), A +++ to +++</td>
<td>7 × hepatopancreas with finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F ++ to +++</td>
<td>F +++ to +++</td>
<td>A ++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 × FC +++</td>
<td>1 × FC +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/05C</td>
<td>+/</td>
<td>A + to +++</td>
<td>(0), A + to +++</td>
<td>2 × hepatopancreas with finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F + to +++</td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td>A +++ to +++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/05K</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>A + to +++</td>
<td>(8), A + to +++</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F – 0</td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/05C</td>
<td>+/</td>
<td>A +++ to +++</td>
<td>(3), A +++ to +++</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F ++ to +++</td>
<td>F +++ to +++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05C</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>A +++ to +++</td>
<td>(9), A +++ to +++</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F ++ to +++</td>
<td>F +++ to +++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/05C</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>A +++ to +++</td>
<td>(0), A +++ to +++</td>
<td>2 × hepatopancreas and kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F + to ++</td>
<td>F + to +++</td>
<td>with finding A ++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/06K</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>A + to +++</td>
<td>(0), A + to +++</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F 0 to +</td>
<td>F + to +++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06K</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>A + to +++</td>
<td>(5), A + to +++</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/06K</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>A + to +++</td>
<td>A + to +++</td>
<td>1 × hepatopancreas and kidney A ++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F + to ++</td>
<td>F + to +++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/06K</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>A + to +++</td>
<td>(0), A + to +++</td>
<td>1 × hepatopancreas, spleen and kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F + to +++</td>
<td>F + to +++</td>
<td>with finding A ++++, F ++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/06K</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>A +++</td>
<td>(2), A + to +++</td>
<td>2 × hepatopancreas, spleen and kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F ++ to +++</td>
<td>F + to +++</td>
<td>with finding A ++ to ++, F 0 to +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06C</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/06C</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>A + to +++</td>
<td>(0), A + to +++</td>
<td>2 × hepatopancreas, 3 × spleen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F +</td>
<td>F +</td>
<td>with finding A +++ +++, F 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/06C</td>
<td>–/</td>
<td>A ++ to +++</td>
<td>(0), A +++ to +++</td>
<td>4 × hepatopancreas, 2 × kidney,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F ++ to +++</td>
<td>F ++ to +++</td>
<td>1 × spleen with finding A +++ +++, F 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

01/05–07/05 = number of sample/year of sampling
Gil = primers according to Gilad et al., 2002; Ber = primers according to Bercovier et al., 2005
A = *Aeromonas* spp., F = *Flavobacterium* spp., FC = *Flavobacterium columnare
Cyprinus carpio koi, Cyprinus carpio carpio
*growth intensity expressed by plus symbols means 75 to 100%
**non-significant finding
#number of swabs from skin erosions
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